The impact of Community Caregivers on OVC in Côte d’Ivoire

Making the Case for Expansion and Uptake of ParaSocial Workers for Vulnerable Children
Summary

• Location: Côte d’Ivoire

• Study Conducted: July 2013-December 2013 using data from 2010-2013 implementation period
Background

- Côte d’Ivoire has one of the highest adult HIV prevalence rate in West Africa, estimated at 3.7%
- HIV-related orphans and vulnerable children (OVC) are estimated to number 410,000
  - 61,000 are children living with HIV.
- Community caregivers (CC) are at the forefront of efforts to provide care and support to children left vulnerable by the epidemic
  - Community caregivers are usually members of the community in which they ‘work’ who offer care and support through home visits.
  - They assess the families’ needs, refer them to appropriate services, and provide emotional, psychosocial, and practical support
• The CC receive training in coordinated care/case management using the PEPFAR services as a guide, gender, child protection
• The CC are considered invisible workforce - a largely unrecognized, unregulated work force.
• They are not remunerated or officially recognized by the state
Objective of the Study

• To investigate the CC impact on access to health care and social services for the vulnerable children and families Côte d’Ivoire by
  – Evaluating a range of activities carried out by CC and their impact on clinical and social outcomes.

• To understand the support needs or barriers that CC must overcome to provide quality care to vulnerable children and their families.

• To identify a way forward for this workforce after project ends (government uptake)
Design

• **Mixed-methods approach to evaluate the impact**
  – A quasi-experimental design to compare an intervention group of 512 households who received CC support to a control group of 212 households not in the CC program
  – Selected from 5 of 8 regions in Cote d’Ivoire:
    • Lagune (Abidjan), Indenié-Djuablin (Abengourou), Guémon (Duékoué), Tonkpi (Danané) and Kabadougou (Odienné).
  – Selected 74 CC who worked with 13 NGOs
  – Control group included vulnerable children who lived in the same program area as the intervention group children
Results

- **On average, those with CC**
  - had received 2 years of support.
  - 86% of the households indicated a high level of satisfaction.
  - Over 68% had received 5 to 8 services out of the 10 available.
  - Higher levels of uptake for HIV testing

- **Significant at \( p<0.001 \)**
  - 27 times in accessing Nutrition and food
  - 48 times in getting Psychosocial support
  - 21 times in getting Household eco. Strengthening
  - 3.2 times in having tested for HIV
  - 9.3 times to Adherence to HIV treatment
Results: Number of services provided to OVC

Service Provided to OVC

- **Control**
- **Intervention**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># of Service</th>
<th># of OVC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Barriers and Challenges for Community Caregivers

• **Significant barriers exist for the CC including**
  
  – Lack of remuneration to meet their own needs and those of their families;
  
  – The limited funds and their informal status render CCs vulnerable;
  
  – There is no insurance to protect them at the workplace as they are viewed as volunteers
  
  – There is no formalized linkages with the social centers for supervision and support
  
  – No plan for further uptake post program
Recommendations

- Advocate for the official recognition of CC
  - as para social workers (health professional).
  - Formalize the CC status
  - Outline their role and responsibilities.
- Improve remuneration for CCs in Côte d’Ivoire beyond travel allowance
- Improve the NGOs infrastructure to support CC and OVC.
  - Some NGO lacked adequate resources (human and material) to support the CC to be effective and performing their work.
- Standardize training, the evaluation tools and methods for all CCs to allow reliable comparison.
- Recruit CCs with a common set of performance criteria across NGOs (education background, behaviors, ability to provide counseling, language).
Conclusions

• CC-supported households have better clinical and social outcomes.

• CC supported OVC access the program services a higher rate than those not being supported by a CC/HW.

• Programs should consider using CCs to support adherence to treatment, improve psychosocial wellbeing of caregivers and children and increase overall access to needed services.